Erdogan's Adviser Bagis Sends Letter To U.S. Legislators

Turkish Press July 17 2005

ANKARA - Turkish Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan'sforeign policy adviser Egemen Bagis has sent a letter to members of the U.S. House of Representatives.

In his letter, Bagis stressed that good relations with the United States constituted as a basic principle of Turkey's foreign policy.

Noting that as allies and partners, Turkey and the UnitedStates had a long-standing and robust strategic cooperation on regionaland global issues, Bagis wrote, "our cooperation is driven byour shared vision and by our joint interests, based on deep-rooted commonvalues."

Bagis indicated, "given the current regional and globalchallenges, Turkish-US relations are more important than ever. Ourrelations are based on strong foundations and we are mutually determinedagainst multi-dimensional threats."

The letter continues, "as Chairman of the Turkish-U.S. Inter Parliamentarian Friendship Caucus of the Turkish GrandNational Assembly, it is my duty to uphold the strong ties betweenour countries. Similarly, it is my responsibility to actagainst threats that may hurt our relations, disrupt our friendly ties andthat may unnecessarily enrage the Turkish public opinion againstour good ally, the USA. It is with this sense of duty that I amaddressing this letter to you on a matter which is of greatsensitivity to the Turkish people and of importance to our relations."

"We understand some members of the U.S. Congress havesubmitted two similar draft resolutions. (H. Res. 316 introduced on June 14, 2005 and H. Res. 195 introduced on June 29, 2005) This hascaused great disappointment and concern in Turkey. The draftresolutions as they stand contain misinformation, baseless allegations, and false accusations against my country," wrote Bagis in hisletter.

-"TERRIBLE POLITICAL MISCALCULATIONS"

Bagis indicated, "it also misrepresents acontroversial chapter of Turkish-Armenian relations at a time when ourgovernment, led by Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan is taking positivesteps towards our neighbor Armenia. Tragic events of 1915 were triggeredby a terrible political miscalculation of the Armenian citizensof the Ottoman Empire. Encouraged by the Czarist Russia'simperial policy of capturing Anatolia and reaching the warm waters of the Mediterranean, Ottoman Armenians allowed themselves to serve as the fifthcolumn of Russia in Turkey. As a result they rebelled against thecentral government, triggered a civil war and paid a terribleprice."

-BUSH ALSO SUPPORTS ERDOGAN'S PROPOSAL"

Bagis went on saying, "the events of 1915 cannot belabeled as 'genocide'. Primarily, such a liberal usage of thisterrible word is an insult to Holocaust, which is the gravest crime againsthumanity. Turkey has always maintained that parliaments and otherpolitical for a are the most inappropriate venues to discuss and passjudgments on controversial historic periods. History is a disciplinethat should be left to the historians. In order to shed lighton this controversial historic issue, the Turkish Government hasopened all its archives to researchers. Furthermore, PrimeMinister Recep Tayyip Erdogan has proposed the establishment of a studygroup of Turkish and Armenian historians to work study together on he events of 1915. The proposal not only covers the archives of Turkey and Armenia but also requires unbiased research in the archivesof all relevant countries, including Russia. Then the plan is toshare the conclusions with the international public. Prime MinisterErdogan's proposal was much appreciated and supported by PresidentBush."

"Genocide is the most vicious crime against humanity. Accusing a nation with genocide is a very serious act. Any such actcomes with a responsibility to prove such an accusation and rest it onhistorical facts and international legality. We do not wish the U.S. Congress running a judgment based on one-sided allegations, "stated Bagis.

-HISTORIANS SHOULD ANALYSE-

Bagis went on saying, "Turks and Armenians have livedin peace for over eight centuries in Anatolia. The Armenian community, dispersed throughout the Ottoman territories lived as loyal and, incertain aspects, as privileged citizens of the Ottoman Empire. They served as ministers, generals, ambassadors, governors, commercial envoys and in similar other capacities. They were not subject to discrimination in any shape or form."

The letter continued, "towards the end of the 19thcentury, the 'Great Powers' of the time began regarding the Armenians as animportant tool of manipulation against the Ottomans. Their aim was toaccelerate the destruction of the Ottoman Empire. These powers promised the Armenians a state in Eastern Anatolia where paradoxically the Armenian citizens were only a minority. As a result of the provocations of Great Powers', various Armenian bands began to organize from the 1880s and onwards. These armed militia staged rebellions in various provinces and launched an ethnic cleansing campaign. They weretrying to force mass immigration of the local population and to alter the demographic structure these regions. Their methods were massacres andharassment of the Turks and other Muslims. The start of World War Iand the entry of the Ottoman state into the War against the AlliedPowers was seen as a great opportunity by the extremistArmenians. They revolted and collaborated with the invading Russian armyand other foreign forces. As a fifth column of the Russianoccupation, Armenian bandits attacked the Ottoman troops and disrupted the supply routes. Under these circumstances, the Ottoman governmentinformed the Armenian Patriarch, Armenian Members of Parliament andother prominent Armenians that if these activities were tocontinue, the government would have to take defensive measures. Armenian activities, however, continued unabated. In the face of these enormous internal and external threats, the Ottoman Government, in May 1915resorted to a defensive internal security measure, which anycountry facing a similar situation would take. Again, the Ottomangovernment was facing an armed rebellion by its own citizens who happened to be members of a certain ethnic group and they were collaborating with a foreign belligerent. The Ottoman government adopted the Relocation Law to transfer its Armenian citizens living in the warzone to the southern territories of the Empire. The Armenian citizenshad been informed well advance about this decision and their transfer started after necessary preparations. Meanwhile, Armenian citizensliving outside the war zone were excluded from this resettlementprocess. Thus, some 200,000 Armenian citizens living in Istanbul, Edirne, Kutahya, Aydin and Izmir were not affected. The law inquestion envisaged every precaution to ensure the security of the Armenian citizens during the transfer, first and foremost, thesafety of their lives and protection of their assets. The Ottoman centralgovernment instructed the local authorities to take the necessarysecurity and other measures for the orderly relocation of the Armeniancitizens."

"Relevant documents about these circulars areavailable in the Ottoman

archives. Despite these measures, war conditions, and local ethnic animosities prompted attacks against the Armenian convoysduring the transfer process. Due to the limitations of the ongoingWorld War I, lack of food supplies and other relief material, as wellas harsh climate and epidemics took their toll on the population. The relocation was suspended in November 1915. In early 1916 it wasbrought to an end. After the war the Ottoman Government issued a decree, allowing the previously relocated Armenian citizens return to theirplaces of origin. According to a report prepared by the Armenian Patriarchate, -and this document is the U.S. archives- 644,900 Armenians returned to their places of origin, as a result. In the meantime, some 1,390 people were tried in Ottoman courts for attacking the Armenian convoys and for related criminal acts. Many were convicted, somewith death penalty. At this point, we need to ask: If the Ottomangovernment had intended to annihilate its Armenian citizens, why would itprosecute civilians and officials for mistreatment of Armenian convoys and why would it later allow the Armenians to return to theirtowns?"

"According to 1948 UN Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide only a competent tribunal candetermine whether genocide is committed or not. As underlined by the sameConvention, the tribunal in charge is either the tribunal of the Statein the territories of which the act was committed or aninternational penal tribunal as may have jurisdiction with respect to thoseContracting Parties which have accepted its jurisdiction. 1948 UNConvention does not grant any competence to national or international parliaments for the recognition or affirmation of the crime ofgenocide. Since so far Armenian genocide claims have never been ascertained in any competent court ruling it would be highly erroneous totalk about an international recognition of the so-called Armeniangenocide. Again, the abovementioned attributions to the UN documents are apoor attempt to add some air of legitimacy to the unfounded, biased and one-sided allegations. Again, if a tragedy took place in EasternAnatolia in 1915, it was due to a tragic political miscalculation by acertain ethnic group against the central government. The resultwas a civil war which should be analyzed by historians and not bylegislators," wrote Bagis.

Bagis added, "I hope my letter will create anotheropportunity for you to reexamine the content of the draft resolution H.Res. 316 from a wider perspective. That perspective is the Turkish-USrelations. The adoption of these resolutions would not facilitate ourefforts to improve Turkish-Armenian relations since the ArmenianGovernment

and Diaspora will feel further encouraged in pursing the policy of making political gains on this disputed period of history."